



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/865,368	05/25/2001	Leonard S. Hand	6169-202	3711	
7590 09/30/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Gregory A. Nelson			ZHOU, TING		
Akerman Senterfitt 222 Lakeview Avenue, Fourth Floor			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 3188	,		2173		
West Palm Bea	nch, FL 33402-3188		DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/865,368	HAND ET AL.				
navious Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ting Zhou	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 3 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THIS te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ender the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 						
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7-11,13-21,23-24,26-28,30-</u>	34 and 36-45 and 46.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	P	AYMOND J. BAYERL				
		PRIMARY EXAMINER				
	8					
		ART UNIT 2173				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/865,368

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments raised new issues of defining metrics corresponding to at least one entity, "wherein each entity is a network component", receiving "user" selection of particular ones of the entities, and providing graphical display representations of the unique indicators associated with the selected entities "within a graphical user interface of a machine remotely located from the at least one entity", in the independent claims. These new additions require further search and consideration of the claims and will therefore not be entered at the present time.